

List of proposals from the partners RISE and SINTEF not taken into account at this time

Here is a list of proposals entered by the partners which have not been taken into account at this time, mainly because they had more relevance for approximation of the test to Real Life operation or was otherwise outside of the scope of this evaluation.

RISE COMMENTS discarded

- Aspects of a hidden menu protected by a code, not accessible for lab staff, when re-testing pellets stoves
- Recommendation on a new European Round-Robin test paid for by the commission

SINTEF COMMENTS discarded

- No need for increasing the number of nominal cycle repetitions to more than 3 and reserve any extra cycles to part load
- More emphasis on part load testing, regardless of manual or ACC stoves
- A query on why to maintain the 12 Pa fixed flue draft, suggesting indirectly that natural flue draft is better
- A query on why maintaining the current (outdated) EN-PME dust measuring method despite being non-isokinetic and despite reporting only total PM (TSP)
- A suggestion on aligning fuel moisture requirements with fuel standards for suppliers of log wood
- Inclusion of an overload test to CEN/TS 18163:2025 (by email)